UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/727,729	12/04/2003	Arthur P. Jost	BCS03157	2296
43471 Motorola, Inc.	7590 08/13/200	EXAMINER		
Law Department 1303 East Algonquin Road 3rd Floor Schaumburg, IL 60196			FEATHERSTONE, MARK D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2423	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/13/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Panel Decision
from Pre-Appeal Brief
Review

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Pate Reexamination	ent under
10/727,729	JOST ET AL.	
	Art Unit	
MARK D. FEATHERSTONE	2423	

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal	Brief Request for Review filed 07/23/2009.
 Improper Request – The Regression reason(s): 	equest is improper and a conference will not be held for the following
The request does not incl	not been filed concurrent with the Pre-Appeal Brief Request. ude reasons why a review is appropriate. s included with the Pre-Appeal Brief request.
	se continues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from nmunication, if no Notice of Appeal has been received.
held. The application remains und is required to submit an appeal br brief will be reset to be one month running from the receipt of the no	A Pre-Appeals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been been appeal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant it is in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal from mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period it ice of appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the 7 CFR 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date ble.
☐ The panel has determined Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-30. Claim(s) withdrawn from continuous	ed the status of the claim(s) is as follows: sideration:
	conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of tion on the merits remains closed. No further action is required by
	onference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office action is required by applicant at this time.
All participants:	
(1) MARK D. FEATHERSTONE.	(3) <u>Christopher Grant</u> .
(2) <u>Andrew Koenig</u> .	(4)
/Andrew Y Koenig/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art	/Christopher Grant/ QAS TC 2400

Unit 2423